

PART B. STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATION STATEMENTS

DESIGN-BUILD OF THE TRITIUM SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING OFFICE BUILDING, SOLICITATION NUMBER 18431-001-00-CB

The University will review all responses to this Request for Qualifications based on the factors listed below. The three stated evaluation factors are of equal importance. The attributes of each factor will not be independently scored, but will contribute to the overall score for each factor. The respondent's qualifications may, in the aggregate, be comprised of the sum of any proposed subcontractors, consultants, team members, or partners. Failure to meet any of the stated minimum requirements as defined in the evaluation factors below will result in a "no-go" determination.

Evaluation Factors

1.0 Experience and Past Performance

1.1 Design and Construction of Projects

Respondents will be evaluated on their capability to perform the work based upon prior experience. The Selection Evaluation Committee (SEC) will review up to three examples of design-build projects submitted by the respondent that were successfully completed in the last five years and that strongly relate to the TSE Office Building (Attachment 1). **At least two of these design-build projects shall have had a construction contract greater than \$2,000,000 each or the respondent will not be considered for selection for Phase 2.** These examples need not all be completed by the proposed prime, but may be shared among the teaming entities. Respondents will be evaluated upon similarity to this project, customer satisfaction, and capability to perform this work. Include the following information:

- a) Name, location, and description of project
- b) Name, address, and telephone number of Owner's representative
- c) Project Magnitude: SF of office space
- d) Project Cost (in thousands)
- e) Performance Period Dates
- f) Technical Challenge (describe the challenge(s) that relate to the TSE Office Building. As examples, this could include such challenges as avoiding underground utilities, working with LANL, etc.)

1.2 Pre-award Survey of Prospective Contractor Safety

In order to be considered, each respondent must meet all three minimum pre-award statistical standards for an average of three years on the Survey of Prospective Contractor's Safety Record specified in Attachment 2. **No respondent will be considered for selection for Phase 2 if they fail to meet this requirement.**

2.0 Proposed Management Approach

- 2.1 Project Management-** Each respondent will be evaluated upon how it will manage this project based upon the following capabilities: 1) communications, 2) philosophy on delegation of authorities and accountabilities, 3) project controls, 4) conduct of engineering, 5) construction safety, 6) construction management, 7) change control, 8) teaming with the customer, 9) problem solving techniques, and any other topics the Respondent considers relevant.
- 2.2 Organizational Structure-**
The respondent's organizational structure will be evaluated upon how the major layers of management and expected reporting relationships will support the design and construction team and enhance their performance of this work.
- 2.3 Proposed Key Personnel Positions**
The following key positions will be evaluated to determine how well the respondent can perform the work: Project Manager, Design Team Leader, and Construction Superintendent. The Respondent may choose to expand this list (Attachment 3) or modify it to match its proposed organizational structure, provided that the primary leadership positions remain as "key personnel."
- 2.4 Northern New Mexico Economic Development Initiatives**
Respondents will be evaluated on their approach and initiative for using small, disadvantaged and local businesses to enhance economic development in the region. These factors include at a minimum:
- A. Degree of commitment of the offeror to implement initiatives.
 - B. Realism and past performance of the proposed initiatives.
 - C. Impact of the initiatives on the economy of Northern New Mexico

3.0 Teaming Experience

Respondents will be evaluated on their experience in working with the other member(s) of the proposed team on other design/build and/or design/bid/build construction projects as applicable. Using the matrix described in Attachment 4, respondents will indicate the role that the

proposed key personnel played in the three cited projects described in 1.1.